UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,270	05/25/2006	Hiroyuki Takebe	1254-0314PUS1	5965	
2292 7590 10/31/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH EXAMINER				IINER	
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 220/0 07/7	HSIEH, PING Y			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/31/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/580,270	TAKEBE, HIROY	UKI				
interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	PING Y. HSIEH	2618					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>PING Y. HSIEH</u> .	(3)						
(2) Obert Chu.	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>15 October 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 11</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Ono et al. (US 2001/0044320).							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed claims 1 and 11 over Ono reference. The examiner believed Ono still read on the claimed limitations. The exminer suggests that if the connecting conductor is ring shape and the whole ring is conductive, it might overcome the Ono reference. However, further consideration is required.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>							
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPL ' DAYS FROM TI WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS				
/Ping Y Hsieh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618	/Nay A. Maung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2618					